Case4:06-cv-02069-SBA Document98 Filed07/03/07 Page1 of 5

1	LYNNE C. HERMLE (STATE BAR NO. 9977	79)						
2	lchermle@orrick.com MICHAEL A. APARICIO (STATE BAR NO. 206300)							
3	maparicio@orrick.com JESSICA R. PERRY (STATE BAR NO. 209321)							
4	jperry@orrick.com AMIRA B. DAY (STATE BAR NO. 239045)							
5	aday@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road							
6	Menlo Park, CA 94025							
7	Telephone: 650-614-7400 Facsimile: 650-614-7401							
8	Attorneys for Defendant WAL-MART STORES, INC.							
9	Arnold W. Schwartz (STATE BAR NO. 63436)							
10								
11	SCHWARTZ, DANIELS & BRADLEY 29229 Canwood Street, Suite 208	adicy.com						
12	Agoura Hills, California 91301							
13	Telephone: (310) 478-5838 or (818) 338-8900 Facsimile: (310) 478-1232							
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs							
15								
16	UNITED STATE:	S DISTRICT COURT						
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
18	OAKLAND DIVISION							
19								
20	In Re WAL-MART STORES, INC. WAGE AND HOUR LITIGATION	Case No. C 06-02069 SBA						
21		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING THIRD CLAIM						
22	This Document Relates to:	FOR RELIEF AND STRIKING RELATED ALLEGATIONS						
23	Case Nos.							
24	C 06-02069 SBA (Smith) and C 06-05411 SBA (Ballard)							
25	C 00 05411 SD/1 (Dallaid)							
26		J						
27								
28								

1	<u>STIPULATION</u>				
2	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' First Amended Consolidated Complaint sets forth, among				
3	other claims for relief on behalf of the putative class members, a claim for unpaid overtime in the				
4	third claim for relief.				
5	WHEREAS on May 29, 2007, the Court issued an Order granting in part and				
6	denying in part Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike the First Amended				
7	Consolidated Complaint;				
8	WHEREAS, in opposing Defendant's Motions with respect to the third claim for				
9	relief, Plaintiffs informed the Court that another case entitled Newland v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. is				
10	pending in the California Superior Court, County of Alameda, Case No. RG05193921;				
11	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have determined that the claims relating to alleged unpaid				
12	overtime in this action are substantially identical to the claims for unpaid overtime in the Newland				
13	action;				
14	WHEREAS the <i>Newland</i> action was commenced on January 14, 2005, over a year				
15	before the commencement of this action;				
16	WHEREAS Plaintiffs have determined that the <i>Newland</i> matter, which previously				
17	was stayed, is now moving forward and said stay has been lifted;				
18	WHEREAS Plaintiffs have determined that continuing to litigate the third claim				
19	for relief for overtime in this action may lead to unnecessary expense and waste of judicial				
20	resources;				
21	WHEREAS Plaintiffs in this matter wish to dismiss the entire third claim for relief				
22	and strike all allegations that relate solely to that claim;				
23	NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree and ask that the Court				
24	to approve this Stipulation as an Order of the Court:				
25	1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a), to DISMISS the third				
26	claim for relief in its entirety;				
27	2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f), to STRIKE the				
28	following language from the First Amended Consolidated Complaint, which by virtue of the				

dismissal of the third claim for relief is rendered impertinent:

\sim	
٠,	
_	

1

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Paragraph	Page	Line Start	Line End	Text
¶ 1	3	15	16	"(iii) current and former employees are owed overtime
				wages"
¶ 3	3	23	26	ENTIRE PARAGRAPH
¶ 6	4	11	-	"receiving pay for all overtime wages owed"
¶ 7	4	19	-	"(b) failed to pay for all overtime wages earned"
¶ 20	6	21	-	"overtime"
¶ 23	7	3	6	ENTIRE PARAGRAPH
¶ 27	7	21	26	"During Plaintiff Michael Wiggins' employment with Wal-Mart, Wal-Mart failed to pay overtime. Wal-Mart would 'shave off' time from his time cards whereby Mr. Wiggins was not paid for all of the hours he worked. Also, when Mr. Wiggins worked a graveyard shift, Wal-Mart would count the hours worked before midnight as working one day, and all the hours worked after midnight as hours worked on another day, thereby denying Mr. Wiggins overtime pay"
¶ 33	9	22	26	ENTIRE "Compensation Subclass" paragraph
¶ 37.5	11	11	13	ENTIRE PARAGRAPH
¶ 46	14	4	-	"overtime"
¶ 47	14	10		"overtime pay"
¶ 47	14	11	12	"and (3) Defendant altered Class and Sub-Class members overtime pay records thereby reducing the amount of

Case4:06-cv-02069-SBA Document98 Filed07/03/07 Page4 of 5

				overtime pay due them."
¶ 59-69	16-	-	-	ENTIRE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AND ALL
	18			PARAGRAPHS
¶ 73	19	3	-	"510, and 1194 et seq."
¶ 86	21	16	17	"the failure to pay all straight time and overtime
				compensation due to members of the proposed Class"
¶ 89	22	15	-	"STRAIGHT TIME, OVERTIME"
¶ 95	23	15	-	"overtime wages, wages for work off-the-clock, and"
Prayer ¶ 2	24	4	-	"overtime"
Dated: July	3, 200	7		MARLIN & SALTZMAN SCHWARTZ, DANIELS & BRADLEY BAILEY PINNEY PC LAW OFFICES OF PETER M. HART /s/ Marcus J. Bradley Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Dated: July	3, 200	7		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP /s/ Michael A. Aparicio Attorneys for Defendant WAL-MART STORES, INC.
/// /// /// ///				
				3 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF C 06-02069 SBA

Case4:06-cv-02069-SBA Document98 Filed07/03/07 Page5 of 5

<u>ORDER</u>
Pursuant to Stipulation, it is so ordered.
Dated: July, 2007
SAUNDRA B. ARMSTRONG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE